TECHNIUM
SOCIAL SCIENCES JOURNAL

www.techniumscience.com

Vol. 71/2025

A New Decade for Social Changes

PLUS COMMUNICATION
International Communication & PR

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

Emotional regulation and burnout prevention: psychological contributions to sustainable social work practice

Ioana-Eva Cădariu[1,2], Dana Rad[3]

[1]Department of Psychology, Tibiscus University of Timisoara, Romania, [2]Institute of Psychotherapy Psychological Counselling and Clinical Supervision, Timisoara, Romania, [3]Center of Research Development and Innovation in Psychology, Faculty of Educational Sciences Psychology and Social Work, Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad, Romania

cadariuioanaeva@yahoo.com, dana@xhouse.ro

Abstract. Burnout remains a common threat to the viability of social work practice, sustained by chronic emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and diminished personal accomplishment. In answer, this article reviews the crucial role of emotional regulation in the prevention of burnout and professional resilience. Grounded in key psychological models—namely mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal, and acceptance processes—this research underscores the prominence of emotional regulation as a core buffering factor against occupational stress. We examine the integration of emotional regulation skills into practice at the individual level, organizational interventions such as supervisory and peer support systems, and emotionally supportive institutional cultures. Recent research and meta-analyses are incorporated to demonstrate the advantages of emotional regulation training in reducing burnout and enhancing well-being. Future directions emphasize the significance of richer training curricula, systemic level organizational reforms, responsible use of technological supports, and longitudinal studies in different social work settings. By putting emotional regulation at the forefront of professional education and practice, the social work profession can embark on a more sustainable, resilient, and ethically motivated future.

Keywords. Emotional regulation, burnout prevention, social work sustainability, self-care strategies, mental health support

1. Introduction

Burnout is a chronic and disquieting problem in social work practice that is characterized by a chronic emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and decreased sense of personal accomplishment that arises from prolonged exposure to occupational stress (Lloyd, King, & Chenoweth, 2002; Söderfeldt, Söderfeldt, & Warg, 1995). Social workers are particularly vulnerable since their professional activities are extremely emotionally charged and are usually done in high-workload environments such as child protective services, health care systems, and crisis intervention teams (Travis, Lizano, & Mor Barak, 2016; Frieiro Padín, Verde-Diego, Arias, & González-Rodríguez, 2021). The consequences of burnout extend beyond the practitioner, with harmful effects not just on the practitioner's mental and physical

health but also on organizational climate, quality of service delivery, and eventually client well-being (Hamama, 2012; Wilson, 2016).

An increasing body of research has explored the multi-factor determinants of burnout among social workers, including socio-demographic, adverse work conditions, organizational support insufficiency, and insufficient coping resources (Gómez-García, Alonso-Sangregorio, & Llamazares-Sánchez, 2020; Marc & Osvat, 2013). These stressors are often compounded by system factors of underfunding, bureaucratic stress, and exposure to vicarious trauma, which gradually erode practitioners' emotional resilience (Frieiro Padín et al., 2021). Additionally, conflict within interprofessional working can enhance isolation and professional discontent, which reinforce the entrenchment of burnout symptoms (McCarthy, 2021).

In this challenging context, the role of emotional regulation has gained increasing recognition as a primary psychological resource for burnout prevention. Emotional regulation is the process through which individuals control their emotions—changing their intensity, duration, and expression—to align with environmental demands (Gross, 2014). For social workers, effective emotional regulation is not a peripheral competence but one of professional survival, enabling them to hold in check the intense degree of emotional labor required for empathizing with clients without relinquishing professional boundaries. Research confirms that adaptive styles of emotion regulation can robustly buffer against the negative impacts of occupational stress, enhance psychological resilience, and preserve professional interest even under high-pressure conditions (Calkins & Leerkes, 2004; Koole, Van Dillen, & Sheppes, 2011).

The development of emotional regulation skills is rooted in early attachment processes but continues to develop throughout the lifespan as influenced by cognitive strategies, environmental feedback, and self-reflection practice (Forgas, Baumeister, & Tice, 2011). Psychological theories of self-regulation provide critical templates for understanding how individuals can individually manage emotional distress and, consequently, excel in complex social work environments in a sustainable way.

From this psychological history, a number of strategies have been proposed to promote emotional regulation among social workers. Techniques such as cognitive reappraisal, mindfulness, and reflective supervision have been promising in building emotional resilience and reducing vulnerability to burnout (Doherty, Mallett, Leiter, & McFadden, 2020; McCarthy, 2021). Besides, process-based psychological models, as described by Cădariu and Rad (2023), highlight the necessity of dynamically regulating motivational and emotional states in determining sustainable professional involvement and thriving, emphasizing the significance of internal psychological resources as much as external organizational facilitates.

Given the significance of burnout to be addressed in social work, the current paper attempts critically to explore the role of emotional regulation as both protective factor and promotive mechanism for sustainable practice. We begin by defining burnout specifically in its application to social work and its primary antecedents. We then examine the psychological theories of emotional regulation before analyzing how these can be applied to practical interventions at individual and organizational levels. We then integrate evidence from more recent studies, propose future directions for incorporating emotional regulation training in social work education and practice, and offer recommendations for enhancing practitioners' resilience. Through this analysis, we argue that fostering emotional regulation is not merely a coping tool but an ethical imperative for cultivating effective, sustainable, and human-centered social work practice.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

2. Theoretical framework

2.1 Understanding burnout in social work

Social work burnout is a complex, multidimensional experience characterized by three main dimensions: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment. Emotional exhaustion is the state of feeling emotionally drained caused by being extended by one's job while drained by the pressure of one's work. Depersonalization refers to the development of cynical orientations and emotional detachment from clients, while reduced personal accomplishment is a feeling of inefficacy and unhappiness with professional achievements (Koeske & Koeske, 1989; Shih, Jiang, Klein, & Wang, 2013). The two dimensions operate interdependently to erode the professional persona and personal well-being of social workers, thereby impairing their capability to offer quality services.

Emotional exhaustion is usually considered the first and most significant stage of burnout, as repeated exposure to overextended demands gradually depletes an individual's emotional resources (Park, Rhee, & Lee, 2021; Chaves-Montero, Blanco-Miguel, & Ríos-Vizcaíno, 2025). Emotional exhaustion in direct practice environments is compounded by the nature of direct practice, which tends to involve repeated exposure to human suffering, systemic injustice, and scarcity of resources (Lloyd, King, & Chenoweth, 2002). Unless checked, emotional exhaustion can lead to depersonalization, where social workers resort to defensive coping mechanisms such as emotional withdrawal, cynicism, or dehumanizing clients (Tony & Lilian, 2002). Although such reactions are temporary psychological buffers, they ultimately compromise empathic engagement that is core to ethical social work practice.

Concurrently, the majority of social workers experience low personal accomplishment, feeling that what they do results in little meaningful change or recognition (Poulin & Walter, 1993; Hussein, 2018). Such a perception might be due to systemic barriers such as bureaucratic constraints, heavy caseloads, inadequate supervision, and poor organizational support, all of which compromise professional competence (Collings & Murray, 1996; Gibson, McGrath, & Reid, 1989). The long-term pressure of raised expectations versus dwindling resources generally sets the soil ready for burnout to ensue.

Those unique stressors inherent within the work environments in social work settings are numerous and have profound roots in the occupational sphere. Extensive research across all contexts repeatedly illustrates high workloads, the emotional demands of client-centered work, role conflict, a dysfunctional organizational climate, and social isolation to be crucial burnout predictors (Blomberg, Kallio, Kroll, & Saarinen, 2015; Ravalier, 2019). Jones, Fletcher, and Ibbetson (1991) pointed out the central role of demands exceeding available support, while Cooper and Davidson (1987) depicted occupational stress in social work as likely to reflect and enhance stressors from beyond the workplace, such as economic instability or domestic tension.

Moreover, the relational nature of social work—requiring extended emotional labor, boundary work, and empathic attunement—also contributes to occupational stress. Wooten, Kim, and Fakunmoju (2011) point out that the additive impact of vicarious trauma, secondary traumatic stress, and moral distress is particularly relevant among social workers, making emotional exhaustion and depersonalization not isolated incidents but inherent hazards of the profession itself.

It must be noted that burnout is not always felt by every social worker. Socio-demographic factors such as age, gender, experience in years, and work location have been noted to moderate the patterns of burnout (Gómez-García, Alonso-Sangregorio, & Llamazares-Sánchez, 2020). To illustrate, child care service-based social workers and younger social

workers are found to feel more emotionally exhausted compared to their adult services counterparts (Hussein, 2018).

Taken together, the interaction between worker vulnerabilities, systemic stressors, and emotional demands of social work practice all come together to create fertile soil for burnout to take root. Having an awareness of these dynamics allows one to then identify intervention points that will build resilience, sustain professional commitment, and guard worker and client well-being.

2.2 The psychology of emotional regulation

Emotional regulation refers to the processes through which people construct their emotions—i.e., how they feel, express, and manage emotional states across situations and over time (Gross, 1998; Gross, 2014). In psychology, emotional regulation is increasingly not regarded as merely a responsive modulation of emotional experience, but as an active and dynamic process at the heart of mental health, resilience, and adaptive functioning. Emotion regulation skill is a pillar of job well-being for social workers in high-stress environments that shield them from the negative effects of chronic emotional labor.

Gross' process model (Gross, 1998; Gross, 2014) is one of the most influential models for emotion regulation understanding. With this model, emotion regulation strategies can be placed along a temporal spectrum, starting with antecedent-focused strategies like situation selection, situation modification, attentional deployment, and cognitive change (e.g., cognitive reappraisal) and moving to response-focused strategies like emotional suppression. Gross (2015) emphasized that antecedent-focused strategies, in particular, cognitive reappraisal—where individuals reinterpret the meaning of a situation in order to alter its emotional connotation—are typically more adaptive and are associated with better psychological consequences than response-focused strategies like suppression, which exacerbate emotional distress and physiological arousal.

Building on Gross' model, Koole (2009) created an integrative framework that conceptualizes emotional regulation as being active in three functional domains: attentional control, cognitive change, and response modulation. Koole's framework highlights the multidimensionality of regulation processes, illustrating that they are able to be conscious and unconscious, and to involve multiple interacting systems, including cognitive, motivational, and behavioral. These conceptual advances have made the knowledge wealthier that emotional regulation is not a single capability, but rather an adaptive suite of strategies which can be selectively activated based on situational needs and individual goals.

Moreover, the field has begun to recognize the importance of implicit emotion regulation—unconscious, automatic regulatory processes that occur without deliberate intention (Koole & Rothermund, 2011). For social workers who frequently work under conditions of cognitive and emotional overload, the ability to automatically execute adaptive regulation processes can be critical in maintaining professional functioning under high levels of pressure.

Recent research has also found mindfulness to be a viable emotion regulation. Chambers, Gullone, and Allen (2009) had posited that mindfulness practices enhance people's ability to observe emotional experiences without jumping into an instant judgment or acting on impulse, which enables more adaptive emotional responding. This is particularly relevant to social workers whose job entails ongoing emotional presence without becoming submerged in clients' misery.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

The contemporary empirical studies of emotion regulation also indicate context specificity of control strategies. It is highly situational, as Aldao (2013) and Tull and Aldao (2015) argue, how well a strategy can manage emotions; those that work as adaptive techniques in one setting will be disruptive as maladaptive procedures in another setting. This has important social work implications, since the profession engages with highly variable affective environments for which high-level flexible regulatory strategies must be operative.

In the practice of occupational stress management, emotional regulation is not presented as a mere coping mechanism but as an effective resource that bolsters resilience, fosters self-efficacy, and shields against the onset of burnout. Effective regulation enables social workers to be empathetically involved and also protect their own emotional borders, thus upholding both their psychological health as well as their capacity to provide ethical, client-centered care.

Collectively, psychological theories and empirical data point towards the position that emotional regulation is a vital adaptive process, central to the maintenance of professional well-being in emotionally charged professions like social work. By developing a dynamic, contextually responsive set of emotional regulation tactics, social workers can more successfully navigate occupational challenges, preserve professional vitality, and promote sustainable, humane practice contexts.

2.3 Link between emotional regulation and burnout prevention

The relationship between prevention of burnout and emotional regulation has been extensively researched across theoretical and empirical research, and findings have been converging that suggest emotional regulation as a vital mechanism of prevention for occupational burnout. For social work professionals who are subjected repeatedly to emotionally disturbing events, the ability to modulate and regulate emotional response is crucial for personal well-being but also to sustain long-term professional commitment.

Theoretically, emotional regulation serves as a buffer mediating the effect of occupational stressors on emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and decreased personal accomplishment. When individuals with adaptive emotional regulation skills are present, they can reframe stressful events more effectively, manage emotional arousal, and avert the accumulation of negative affect to harmful levels (Guan & Jepsen, 2020). On the other hand, emotional regulation problems have been related to higher vulnerability for emotional exhaustion and negative coping, and both of these are significant in the creation of burnout symptoms.

Empirical data always confirms this theoretical link. Jiménez-Picón et al. (2021), in their systematic review, demonstrated that mindfulness—a form of adaptive emotion regulation characterized by present-moment awareness and non-reactivity—has a positive relationship with emotional intelligence and is a protective factor for healthcare professionals against burnout. These findings are highly relevant to social work, where mindfulness-based interventions have been increasingly used to enhance emotional resilience and occupational sustainability.

Also aiding the buffering effect of emotion regulation, Guan and Jepsen (2020) found that the ability to regulate at work significantly foretells less than otherwise expected burnout, particularly when added to personality traits such as being grateful. What their study shows is the potential of emotional regulation not as one variable but also in conjunction with a myriad of positive psychological assets with which it can dampen the impact of job stressors.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

More recent research has also explained emotion regulation within the context of severe societal stressors. Vertsberger et al. (2022), in a parent burnout study during the COVID-19 pandemic, set up that individuals with higher emotion regulation capacity exhibited increased resilience to burnout despite high environmental stress levels. This reiterates cross-situational universality of emotion regulation as a resilience indicator in various high-stress environments, including careers of professional caregiving such as social work.

Among social services and counseling, Newton et al. (2020) identified mindfulness, emotion regulation, and perceived social support as large predictors of diminished burnout symptomatology among counselors. Their outcome emphasizes that emotion regulation is something more than the passive coping type but an active, learnable skill set through which emotional exhaustion and depersonalization, leading to professional sustainability, are significantly reduced.

Ma and Liu (2024) also confirmed these findings in educational professionals, illustrating that subjective wellbeing and emotional regulation collectively contribute to lowering burnout levels. They found that emotional regulation is directly and indirectly linked to lower burnout, acting through the induction of overall psychological wellbeing.

Especially among social work professionals, Azam, Rehman, and Rehman (2023) found emotional regulation to be a primary protectant against vicarious trauma, compassion fatigue, and burnout. Given social work's endemic empathic arousal and secondary traumatization, effective emotional regulation therefore becomes critical as a means to maintain professional competence while safeguarding mental health over the long run.

Together, these theoretical models and empirical studies lead to one unavoidable conclusion: emotional regulation is an active, dynamic process that protects social workers from the erosive cycle of stress buildup and emotional depletion. By having emotional regulation capacities, social workers are capable of sustaining involvement, sustaining empathic sensitivity, and cultivating professional longevity in the midst of chronic occupational stressors.

3. Psychological contributions to sustainable social work practice

3.1 Self-care as a professional imperative

Within the high-stress and emotionally charged environments of social work practice, self-care is now a professional imperative rather than a personal luxury. Drawing on psychological theories of resilience and flourishing, self-care can be defined as purposeful conduct that individuals undertake to maintain physical, emotional, and psychological health in order to be successful professionals in the long run (Skovholt & Trotter-Mathison, 2014; Martínez, Connelly, Pérez, & Calero, 2021).

Self-care is inextricably connected with the process of developing resilience, an adaptation process that assists individuals to accommodate stress and adversity. Skovholt and Trotter-Mathison (2016) argue that resilient practitioners engage in self-care practices that replenish emotional capital, build professional vitality, and mitigate the compounding impact of empathic burnout and occupational risk. Similarly, Bender and Ingram (2018) highlight that secure attachment patterns, combined with high self-care ability and self-efficacy thoughts, play a significantly key role in assisting individuals in recovering from career adversity and preventing the erosion of psychological resilience.

Psychological conceptualizations of self-care emphasize its multi-faceted nature, such as physical (e.g., sleep, nutrition, exercise), emotional (e.g., emotion regulation, mindfulness), relational (e.g., seeking social support), and professional (e.g., supervision, boundary management) dimensions (Dorociak, Rupert, Bryant, & Zahniser, 2017). Riegel et al. (2021) in

their systematic review of the literature on self-care, emphasize that self-care activities are proactive, intentional, and capable of being modified over time, and suggest that self-care can—and should—be integrated systematically into professional development course materials for social workers.

Practical self-care interventions in daily social work practice involve the creation of routine habits and organizational frameworks that support practitioner well-being. Strategies involve engaging in mindfulness and reflective practice, setting realistic boundaries with clients, allowing adequate recovery time between emotionally demanding sessions, and attending professional supervision with a focus on emotional support and skill development (Mills, 2021; Wong & White, 2021). Notably, Wise, Hersh, and Gibson (2012) call for a rethinking of the traditional stress-distress model in placing self-care not merely as a reaction to distress but rather as an intentional ethical obligation fundamental to the maintenance of professional proficiency.

Moreover, self-care has also been increasingly recognized as a social justice issue, particularly for populations historically marginalized. Wyatt and Ampadu (2022) argue that for Black professionals, self-care is not merely an individual health behavior but is also a political act of resisting systemic oppression and cumulative disadvantage. This contextualizes the concept of self-care within social work more generally, situating it within the profession's commitment to equity and social justice.

Among direct services providers, even those who work with individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities, self-care has also been identified to be positively linked with higher professional quality of life and diminished levels of compassion fatigue (Keesler & Troxel, 2020). These findings indicate that the promotion of self-care not only enriches personal practitioner growth but also improves the quality of service and client achievement.

Current neuroscience-informed practices have also highlighted the necessity of integrating resilience-based models into self-care interventions. Wei, Hardin, and Watson (2021) theorized a unitary caring science model that integrates human caring theory with psychological and neurobiological evidence, illustrating how systematic self-care interventions facilitate neurological recovery, emotional regulation, and long-term compassion—both critical for long-term sustainability among social work practitioners.

Briefly, self-care in social work is firmly grounded in psychological theory and supported by empirical evidence as a component of professional sustainability. It is a deliberate, multidimensional approach to sustaining well-being, developing resilience, and fulfilling ethical obligations to oneself and to clients. Integrating self-care practices into the daily life of social work is essential not only for individual health but also for fostering humane, effective, and socially just professional environments.

3.2 Training emotional regulation skills

Developing and enhancing emotional regulation skills is one of the primary ways of developing resilience and burnout prevention among social workers. Emotional regulation is not a fixed, inherent trait but a set of skills that can be acquired through intentional practice using psychologically tested techniques. Some of the most powerful techniques uncovered are mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal, and acceptance-based techniques. All of these practices give practitioners the capacity to respond to emotional demands in a flexible manner, reduce psychological strain, and preserve professional engagement.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

Mindfulness-based interventions seek to cultivate nonjudgmental awareness of present-moment experiences, including emotional states, without attempting to alter or suppress them in the moment (Keng, Smoski, & Robins, 2016). Brockman, Ciarrochi, Parker, and Kashdan's (2017) study highlights that mindfulness is associated with more adaptive emotion regulation in daily life, while suppression strategies are associated with higher emotional distress. Mindfulness enhances decentering, or the ability to observe one's emotions as temporary mental events rather than overwhelming facts—a essential psychological skill for social workers dealing with high emotional demands (Hayes-Skelton & Graham, 2013).

Cognitive reappraisal is a second foundation strategy, involving the process of altering the meaning of the situation to alter its emotional impact. This strategy has been strongly validated as one of the most effective antecedent-focused emotion regulation strategies (Wolgast, Lundh, & Viborg, 2011; Troy, Shallcross, Brunner, Friedman, & Jones, 2018). Cognitive reappraisal allows social workers to reinterpret challenging client behaviors or systemic aggravations in less personally ominous terms, building emotional resilience and reducing cynicism or detachment inclinations.

Neuroscience studies have further elucidated the mechanisms for these strategies. Opialla et al. (2015) found that mindfulness and cognitive reappraisal recruit distinct but partially overlapping neural circuits implicated in emotional regulation, which suggests that both strategies are complementary and can be used with flexibility depending on the demands of the situation. Similarly, Goldin, Moodie, and Gross (2019) demonstrated that while both acceptance and reappraisal reduce emotional reactivity, they do so through different physiological and cognitive processes, providing social workers with multiple avenues to adaptively manage their emotions.

Acceptance strategies, often paired with mindfulness-based cognitive therapies, involve allowing feelings to be experienced in their fullness without judgment or avoidance. Segal et al. (2023) showed that radical acceptance training actually facilitates the subsequent use of cognitive reappraisal by individuals, suggesting a synergistic relationship between acceptance and cognitive restructuring processes. Acceptance enables social workers to emotionally confront difficult realities—e.g., client trauma or systemic injustice—without being paralyzed by them.

However, emotion regulation training is not cognitively free. Research by Keng et al. (2013) and Nook, Satpute, and Ochsner (2021) shows that cognitive reappraisal, while effective, can sometimes be effortful and depleting, particularly at high levels of stress. This highlights the importance of flexible, personalized training that enables social workers to choose the most contextually appropriate strategy—whether mindfulness, reappraisal, or acceptance—based on situational demands and momentary capacity.

At the practice application level, several social work education programs have begun including emotion regulation training in their curriculum. These programs often combine mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) training with cognitive restructuring workshops, acceptance-based coping, and emotional resilience. By offering future practitioners actual emotional regulation skills, training programs aim to foster not only individual well-being but also to facilitate ethical practice and client outcomes.

Lastly, by systematically developing emotional regulation skills through mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal, and acceptance strategies, social workers can build robust psychological resources that buffer against burnout, preserve empathic engagement, and increase long-term professional sustainability.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

3.3 Organizational interventions

While personal emotional regulation abilities are fundamental to sustainable social work practice, organizational interventions are also crucial in enhancing emotional well-being and preventing burnout. Organizational climates that foster supportive structures—such as supervisory support, peer support groups, and reflective practices—provide an environment in which social workers are able to grow and thrive rather than merely survive. In high-stress occupations like social work, a healthy institutional culture is required not only for personal well-being but also for the delivery of high-quality, ethical services.

Supervisory support is one of the key pillars of emotional resilience in social work. Supervisors who are empathetic, consistent, and developmentally attuned in their supervision offer social workers a crucial buffer against occupational stress. Forbes, Pratt, and Cronise (2022) emphasize that supervision grounded in understanding, validation, and empowerment significantly improves emotional well-being among peer support specialists. Conversely, supervision that is overly directive or fails to account for the emotional realities of practice can heighten stress and reduce professional satisfaction.

Peer support groups have also been demonstrated to be very effective in developing emotional resilience and reflective capacity. Peterson et al. (2008), in an RCT, concluded that very structured reflecting peer-support groups substantially reduced stress and burnout in attendees, with room for emotional ventilation, mutual validation, and shared problem-solving. Bold (2008) also argues that peer groups promote a deeper approach to learning, with critical reflection on practice that builds professional identity and emotional coping mechanisms.

Reflective practice within peer supervision groups offers another valuable organizational intervention. Turner, Lucas, and Whitaker (2018) highlight how peer supervision fosters a sense of shared responsibility, mutual learning, and heightened self-awareness, all of which contribute to better emotion regulation and reduced emotional exhaustion. Dempsey, Murphy, and Halton (2008) similarly describe the effective use of reflective learning tools in social work agency supervision, demonstrating how formalized reflection enhances emotional resilience and professional growth.

Models such as reflective circles—that is, practitioners engage in facilitated, non-hierarchical discussions of their experiences—have also been fruitful. Gardner, Southall, and Baxter (2022) applied this model in education settings, showing its applicability to all helping professions, as well as to social work. Reflective circles enable open discussion, reduce isolation, and enhance the critical analysis of emotional experiences, resulting in more emotional processing and professional development.

Moreover, Tulleners, Taylor, and Campbell (2024) examined the contribution of peer group supervision in nursing and found that such interventions not only foster reflective capacity but also create emotional solidarity among practitioners. Such collective strength is crucial in social work, where systemic problems could otherwise produce sentiments of powerlessness or detachment.

At a broader level, institutional culture dictates the emotional well-being of social workers. Organizations that prioritize emotional well-being highly, normalize reflective practice, sponsor supervision, and create opportunities for authentic peer connection create workplaces in which emotional regulation strategies can flourish. When organizations treat emotional health as equivalent to professional competency—rather than a personal issue to be managed alone—social workers are more likely to experience sustained commitment, job satisfaction, and resilience.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

On the other hand, organizations that neglect emotional support systems endanger the development of cultures of chronic stress, emotional isolation, and burnout acceleration. Organizational interventions, therefore, cannot be dismissed as optional extras but need to be welcomed as essential foundations of ethical, sustainable social work practice.

In summary, the integration of supervisory support, peer group reflection, and a culture of emotional well-being into organizational structures is key to sustaining the psychological resilience of social workers. These interventions enhance individual emotional regulation skills and collectively create sustainable professional environments that protect both practitioners and the communities with whom they work.

4. Evidence from recent research

The link between emotional regulation training and burnout prevention has been increasingly backed by a growing body of empirical studies and meta-analyses in social work, healthcare, education, and psychology. Previous research consistently demonstrates that emotional regulation abilities are not only preventive but also actively enhance well-being, job satisfaction, and professional resilience.

Several studies have examined the particular mechanisms by which emotional regulation prevents burnout. Hülsheger, Alberts, Feinholdt, and Lang (2013) found that employee mindfulness, which enhances attention in the here and now and acceptance of affective states, is crucial for emotion regulation, minimizing emotional exhaustion, and maximizing job satisfaction. Similarly, Buruck, Dörfel, Kugler, and Brom (2016) illustrated that emotional regulation competencies function as individual psychological strengths that enable employees to maintain well-being in the face of job stressors.

Leadership behaviors also talk across emotional regulation processes. Arnold, Connelly, Walsh, and Martin Ginis (2015) showed that supportive leadership behaviors enhance employees' emotion regulation capacities, which in their turn reduce burnout levels. These results emphasize that organizational and individual capabilities work synergistically to generate emotional resilience.

Meta-analytic research then follows up on these results. Jiménez-Picón et al. (2021), in a systematic review, highlighted mindfulness and emotional intelligence training significantly enhancing emotional regulation abilities, which were buffering factors lowering burnout hazards in various helping professions, including social work. For medical doctors, Jackson-Koku and Grime (2019) also established good emotion regulation is associated with lower doctor burnout, suggesting cross-profession applicability.

With regard to caregiving and educational settings, Flook et al. (2013) conducted a pilot study that indicated that mindfulness training for teachers leads to significant decreases in burnout and stress as well as improving teaching performance. Chacón-Cuberos et al. (2019) projected these results to student samples, confirming that emotional regulation has a negative correlation with school burnout and that there are initial positive effects to having emotional regulation skills.

High-risk occupations have also been researched in more recent studies. Pálfi et al. (2024) analyzed burnout among emergency health professionals and documented that adaptive emotion regulation strategies, such as cognitive reappraisal and acceptance, significantly reduced emotional exhaustion and increased occupational lifespan. These findings directly translate to social work, where emergency intervention and high-pressure engagement are the norm.

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

In the Romanian research environment for psychology and education, there have been numerous studies that have indicated the important contribution of emotional regulation to adaptive functioning. Rad, Dixon, and Rad (2020) demonstrated how digital behavior regulation mediates online activity and stress management, confirming the broad applicability of emotional regulation to domains. Rad's (2019, 2021) research also associated emotional regulation issues with cyberbullying processes and empathy-related problems, respectively, suggesting that issues with regulating emotional responses can enhance maladaptive behavior in vulnerable groups.

Network analysis studies by Rad et al. (2024) on eating behavior regulation (in bulimia) further support the evidence that emotional dysregulation is at the core of maladaptive coping, emphasizing the importance of early emotion-focused interventions. Simultaneous findings of Marici et al. (2023, 2024) and Runcan et al. (2020, 2023) also imply that emotional dysregulation deficits have a critical role in developing shame, guilt, anxiety, and self-harm behaviors among adolescents, as well as directing to the widened influence of emotional dysregulation at the society level beyond workplace environments.

Case studies and meta-analytic findings concur that emotional regulation training significantly reduces burnout risk across a broad spectrum of professional and demographic populations. For social work, in general, building such skills is not a matter of personal health alone but a strategic necessity for guaranteeing ethical, sustainable, and effective professional practice. Integration of emotional regulation training within pre-service education and continuing ongoing continuing professional development becomes an essential recommendation for the future of the profession.

5. Future directions

As evidence of the buffering role of emotional regulation against burnout among social workers grows, the more it becomes necessary to translate these findings into future research, practice, and professional education. To enhance sustainable mental health across the social work profession, several strategic directions must be pursued.

First, there is a pressing need to enhance training curricula by incorporating emotional regulation modules in a systematic way in pre-service education and continuing professional development programs. Future social workers should not be trained only in technical competencies and ethical standards but also in robust emotional regulation strategies in accordance with the requirements of frontline practice. The integration of mindfulness-based strategies, cognitive reappraisal strategies, and acceptance-based strategies into core curricula can strengthen emotional resilience early in professional training (Gavrila-Ardelean & Kelemen, 2021; Gavrila, 2022). Such curricula need to be experiential, offering students not just theoretical knowledge but practice and the possibility of internalizing regulation skills in secure, reflective environments.

Second, there should be an intentional effort at promoting systemic change within social work organizations so that they become environments that sustain practitioners' mental health over the long term. Emotional well-being must be an organizational, rather than an individual, imperative. Organizations must formalize reflective supervision practices, construct peer support networks, and actively appreciate emotional labor as a professional skill (Delcea et al., 2023). A sustainable model of professional mental well-being entails extending beyond self-care as a personal to the establishment of organizational cultures of care, empowerment, and emotional literacy (Gavrila-Ardelean, 2022).

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

Furthermore, technological innovation provides hopeful opportunities for supporting emotional regulation in social work. Ethical application of artificial intelligence (AI) and online technologies in social sciences, as researched by Runcan et al. (2025), could facilitate personalized emotional support systems, real-time burnout monitoring, and adaptive emotional regulation training systems. However, such development must be approached from a critical perspective, so that it complements, and not replaces, authentic human contact and reflective practice.

From a research perspective, there is an urgent need for longitudinal investigations tracing the impact of emotional regulation training on burnout prevention over time. The current evidence, while compelling, is largely based on short-term or cross-sectional intervention studies. Longitudinal investigations would provide stronger causal evidence and illuminate how emotional regulation skills shift across different career stages and professional adversity (Demeter et al., 2024).

Additionally, diverse contexts must be further explored. Much of the existing research has been conducted in Western, high-resource settings. Future research must examine emotional regulation and burnout among underrepresented populations, including rural practitioners, minority populations, and social workers practicing in resource-poor settings. Network analysis approaches, like those employed by Rad et al. (2024) and Delcea et al. (2023), offer effective models for examining complex resilience and coping processes within these diverse contexts.

In conclusion, the future of sustainable social work practice depends on a multi-level strategy: embedding emotional regulation training in education, transforming institutional cultures to prioritize emotional well-being, leveraging technological advancements in an ethical way, and encouraging diverse, longitudinal research agendas. In this way, the profession can move towards a model of resilience that honors both the practitioner's humanity and the dignity of the clients they serve.

6. Conclusions

Prevention of burnout in social workers hinges on a detailed awareness of the job's affective demands and an active commitment to psychological resilience development. On the basis of general theoretical models and empirical research, this article has highlighted emotional regulation as a buffer against burnout in a central role. Mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal, and acceptance-based skills offer concrete, empirically tested tools for managing emotional challenges, sustaining empathic engagement, and conserving professional energy over the long haul.

At an individual level, emotional regulation training allows social workers to greet occupational stressors with greater flexibility, mindfulness, and resilience. These skill developments enhance self-efficacy, pre-empt emotional exhaustion, and preserve the feeling of personal achievement that is so critical to long-term job satisfaction. At the organizational level, the embedding of reflective supervision, peer support systems, and a culture of emotional literacy creates environments where emotional well-being is celebrated, rather than pathologized. Jointly, individual competencies and supportive institutional cultures are the foundation of sustainable professional practice.

In light of the overwhelming evidence that supports emotional regulation strategies, it is no longer sufficient to reduce emotional resilience to the level of a secondary priority. The explicit integration of emotional regulation into professional training programs, workplace culture, and reflective practice needs to be given the status of an ethical and strategic priority.

Emotional resilience is not only aspirational for social workers themselves but also necessary in guaranteeing high levels of client care, ethical practice, and advocacy for social justice.

To the future, then, a vision of a sustainable, resilient social work profession demands a paradigm shift: one that places emotional regulation and psychological well-being at the heart of professional identity. By creating cultures in which emotional experience is acknowledged, supported, and skillfully worked with, the profession can protect its greatest asset—the emotional engagement and human connection that are at the heart of social work. Empowered by adaptive emotional regulation abilities, fostered by emotionally intelligent organizations, and guided by research-driven practice, the social work profession can move forward resiliently, ready to meet current demands and future requirements with strength, compassion, and integrity.

References

[1] Aldao, A. (2013). The future of emotion regulation research: Capturing context. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *8*(2), 155-172.

[2] Arnold, K. A., Connelly, C. E., Walsh, M. M., & Martin Ginis, K. A. (2015). Leadership styles, emotion regulation, and burnout. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, *20*(4), 481.

[3] Azam, H., Rehman, M., & Rehman, M. (2023). Burnout, Compassion Fatigue and Vicarious Traumatic Experiences Among Social Workers: Emotional Regulation as a Protective Factor. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, *11*(4), 4694-4710.

[4] Bender, A., & Ingram, R. (2018). Connecting attachment style to resilience: Contributions of self-care and self-efficacy. *Personality and individual differences*, *130*, 18-20.

[5] Blomberg, H., Kallio, J., Kroll, C., & Saarinen, A. (2015). Job stress among social workers: Determinants and attitude effects in the Nordic countries. *The british journal of social work*, *45*(7), 2089-2105.

[6] Bold, C. (2008). Peer support groups: Fostering a deeper approach to learning through critical reflection on practice. *Reflective Practice*, *9*(3), 257-267.

[7] Brockman, R., Ciarrochi, J., Parker, P., & Kashdan, T. (2017). Emotion regulation strategies in daily life: Mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal and emotion suppression. *Cognitive behaviour therapy*, *46*(2), 91-113.

[8] Buruck, G., Dörfel, D., Kugler, J., & Brom, S. S. (2016). Enhancing well-being at work: The role of emotion regulation skills as personal resources. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, *21*(4), 480.

[9] Cădariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2023). Predictors of Romanian psychology students' intention to successfully complete their courses—A process-based psychology theory approach. *Behavioral Sciences*, *13*(7), 549.

[10] Calkins, S. D., & Leerkes, E. M. (2004). Early attachment processes and the development of emotional self-regulation. *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*, 324-339.

[11] Chacón-Cuberos, R., Martínez-Martínez, A., García-Garnica, M., Pistón-Rodríguez, M. D., & Expósito-López, J. (2019). The relationship between emotional regulation and school burnout: structural equation model according to dedication to tutoring. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *16*(23), 4703.

[12] Chambers, R., Gullone, E., & Allen, N. B. (2009). Mindful emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Clinical psychology review*, *29*(6), 560-572.
[13] Chaves-Montero, A., Blanco-Miguel, P., & Ríos-Vizcaíno, B. (2025, March). Analysis of the Predictors and Consequential Factors of Emotional Exhaustion Among Social Workers: A Systematic Review. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 13, No. 5, p. 552). MDPI.
[14] Collings, J. A., & Murray, P. J. (1996). Predictors of stress amongst social workers: An empirical study. *The British Journal of Social Work*, *26*(3), 375-387.
[15] Cooper, C. L., & Davidson, M. (1987). Sources of stress at work and their relation to stressors in non-working environments. *Psychological factors at work and their relation to health*, 99-123.
[16] Delcea, C., Rad, D., Gyorgy, M., Runcan, R., Breaz, A., Gavrilă-Ardelean, M., & Bululoi, A. S. (2023). A network analysis approach to romanian resilience-coping mechanisms in the Covid-19 era. *Pharmacophore*, *14*(4-2023), 57-63.
[17] Demeter, D. R., Alina, B., Ovidiu, T., Alina, C., Cristian, D., Chiș, R., ... & Mihaela, G. A. (2024). The Mediating Role Of Mental Well-Being In The Relationship Between Psychopathy And Online Aggression Among Female Students. *Migration Letters*, *21*(S10), 435-445.
[18] Dempsey, M., Murphy, M., & Halton, C. (2008). Introducing tools of reflective learning into peer supervision groups in a social work agency. *The Journal of Practice Teaching and Learning*, *8*(2), 25-43.
[19] Doherty, A. S., Mallett, J., Leiter, M. P., & McFadden, P. (2020). Measuring burnout in social work. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*.
[20] Dorociak, K. E., Rupert, P. A., Bryant, F. B., & Zahniser, E. (2017). Development of a self-care assessment for psychologists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *64*(3), 325.
[21] Flook, L., Goldberg, S. B., Pinger, L., Bonus, K., & Davidson, R. J. (2013). Mindfulness for teachers: A pilot study to assess effects on stress, burnout, and teaching efficacy. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, *7*(3), 182-195.
[22] Forbes, J., Pratt, C., & Cronise, R. (2022). Experiences of peer support specialists supervised by nonpeer supervisors. *Psychiatric rehabilitation journal*, *45*(1), 54.
[23] Forgas, J. P., Baumeister, R. F., & Tice, D. M. (2011). The psychology of self-regulation: An introductory review. *Psychology of Self-Regulation*, 1-17.
[24] Frieiro Padín, P., Verde-Diego, C., Arias, T. F., & González-Rodríguez, R. (2021). Burnout in Health Social Work: an international systematic review (2000–2020). *European Journal of Social Work*, *24*(6), 1051-1065.
[25] Gana, M., Rad, D., & Stoian, C. D. (2023). Family functioning, parental attachment and students' academic success. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, *8*(1), 2565.
[26] Gardner, F., Southall, A. E., & Baxter, L. (2022). Effectively supporting teachers: a peer supervision model using reflective circles. *Teachers and Teaching*, *28*(3), 369-383.
[27] Gavrila, M. (2022). Indifference as a Curable Evil. Towards a Common Path for the Protection of Rad, D., Marcu, R., Dicu, A., Cuc, L. D., Roman, D., Olteanu, L. L., ... & Gavrila-Ardelean, L. (2024). Network Analysis of Bulimia and Eating Behavior Regulation in Subclinical Population. *Psychiatry International*, *5*(3), 515-531.
[28] Gavrila-Ardelean, M. (2022). The Role of Social Workers and the Needs of Romanian Community Psychiatry: A Theoretical Analysis of Public Health Indicators for the

Well-being of Highly Vulnerable Groups. *Social Work Review/Revista de Asistenta Sociala*, (1), 115-123.

[29] Gavrila-Ardelean, M., & Kelemen, G. (2021). Operational Perspectives On Personal Empowerment. *Journal Plus Education*, *28*(1), 222-230.

[30] Gibson, F., McGrath, A., & Reid, N. (1989). Occupational stress in social work. *The British Journal of Social Work*, *19*(1), 1-18.

[31] Goldin, P. R., Moodie, C. A., & Gross, J. J. (2019). Acceptance versus reappraisal: Behavioral, autonomic, and neural effects. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, *19*, 927-944.

[32] Gómez-García, R., Alonso-Sangregorio, M., & Llamazares-Sánchez, M. L. (2020). Burnout in social workers and socio-demographic factors. *Journal of Social Work*, *20*(4), 463-482.

[33] Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of general psychology*, *2*(3), 271-299.

[34] Gross, J. J. (2014). Emotion regulation: Conceptual and empirical foundations. *Handbook of emotion regulation*, *2*, 3-20.

[35] Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. *Psychological inquiry*, *26*(1), 1-26.

[36] Guan, B., & Jepsen, D. M. (2020). Burnout from emotion regulation at work: The moderating role of gratitude. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *156*, 109703.

[37] Hamama, L. (2012). Burnout in social workers treating children as related to demographic characteristics, work environment, and social support. *Social work research*, *36*(2), 113-125.

[38] Hayes-Skelton, S., & Graham, J. (2013). Decentering as a common link among mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal, and social anxiety. *Behavioural and cognitive psychotherapy*, *41*(3), 317-328.

[39] Hülsheger, U. R., Alberts, H. J., Feinholdt, A., & Lang, J. W. (2013). Benefits of mindfulness at work: the role of mindfulness in emotion regulation, emotional exhaustion, and job satisfaction. *Journal of applied psychology*, *98*(2), 310.

[40] Hussein, S. (2018). Work engagement, burnout and personal accomplishments among social workers: A comparison between those working in children and adults' services in England. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, *45*(6), 911-923.

[41] Jackson-Koku, G., & Grime, P. (2019). Emotion regulation and burnout in doctors: a systematic review. *Occupational Medicine*, *69*(1), 9-21.

[42] Jiménez-Picón, N., Romero-Martín, M., Ponce-Blandón, J. A., Ramirez-Baena, L., Palomo-Lara, J. C., & Gómez-Salgado, J. (2021). The relationship between mindfulness and emotional intelligence as a protective factor for healthcare professionals: systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(10), 5491.

[43] Jin, Y., Bhattarai, M., Kuo, W. C., & Bratzke, L. C. (2023). Relationship between resilience and self-care in people with chronic conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *32*(9-10), 2041-2055.

[44] Jones, F., Fletcher, B. E. N., & Ibbetson, K. (1991). Stressors and strains amongst social workers: Demands, supports, constraints, and psychological health. *The British journal of social work*, *21*(5), 443-469.

[45] Keesler, J. M., & Troxel, J. (2020). They care for others, but what about themselves? Understanding self-care among DSPs' and its relationship to professional quality of life. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, *58*(3), 221-240.

[46] Keng, S. L., Robins, C. J., Smoski, M. J., Dagenbach, J., & Leary, M. R. (2013). Reappraisal and mindfulness: A comparison of subjective effects and cognitive costs. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *51*(12), 899-904.

[47] Keng, S. L., Smoski, M. J., & Robins, C. J. (2016). Effects of mindful acceptance and reappraisal training on maladaptive beliefs about rumination. *Mindfulness*, *7*, 493-503.

[48] Koeske, G. F., & Koeske, R. D. (1989). Work load and burnout: Can social support and perceived accomplishment help?. *Social work*, *34*(3), 243-248.

[49] Koole, S. L. (2009). The psychology of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Cognition and emotion*, *23*(1), 4-41.

[50] Koole, S. L., & Rothermund, K. (2011). "I feel better but I don't know why": The psychology of implicit emotion regulation. *Cognition and Emotion*, *25*(3), 389-399.

[51] Koole, S. L., Van Dillen, L. F., & Sheppes, G. (2011). The self-regulation of emotion. *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*, *2*, 22-40.

[52] Lloyd, C., King, R., & Chenoweth, L. (2002). Social work, stress and burnout: A review. *Journal of mental health*, *11*(3), 255-265.

[53] Ma, Y., & Liu, Z. (2024). Emotion regulation and well-being as factors contributing to lessening burnout among Chinese EFL teachers. *Acta Psychologica*, *245*, 104219.

[54] Marc, C., & Osvat, C. (2013). Stress and burnout among social workers. *Revista de Asistenta Sociala*, (3), 121.

[55] Marici, M., Clipa, O., Runcan, R., & Iosim, I. (2022). Perceptions of Parenting during the COVID-19 Quarantine Period, in Suceava, the Epicenter of the COVID-19 Outbreak in Romania. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(23), 16188.

[56] Marici, M., Clipa, O., Runcan, R., & Pîrghie, L. (2023, June). Is rejection, parental abandonment or neglect a trigger for higher perceived shame and guilt in adolescents?. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 12, p. 1724). MDPI.

[57] Marici, M., Runcan, R., Cheia, G., & David, G. (2024). The impact of coercive and assertive communication styles on children's perception of chores: an experimental investigation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *15*, 1266417.

[58] Martínez, N., Connelly, C. D., Pérez, A., & Calero, P. (2021). Self-care: A concept analysis. *International journal of nursing sciences*, *8*(4), 418-425.

[59] McCarthy, L. P. (2021). Social work burnout in the context of interprofessional collaboration. *Social Work Research*, *45*(2), 129-139.

[60] Mills, J. (2021). Theoretical foundations for self-care practice. *Progress in Palliative Care*, *29*(4), 183-185.

[61] Newton, T. L., Ohrt, J. H., Guest, J. D., & Wymer, B. (2020). Influence of mindfulness, emotion regulation, and perceived social support on burnout. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, *59*(4), 252-266.

[62] Nook, E. C., Satpute, A. B., & Ochsner, K. N. (2021). Emotion naming impedes both cognitive reappraisal and mindful acceptance strategies of emotion regulation. *Affective Science*, *2*(2), 187-198.

[63] Opialla, S., Lutz, J., Scherpiet, S., Hittmeyer, A., Jäncke, L., Rufer, M., ... & Brühl, A. B. (2015). Neural circuits of emotion regulation: A comparison of mindfulness-based

Technium Social Sciences Journal
Vol. 71, 233-250, May, 2025
ISSN: 2668-7798
www.techniumscience.com

and cognitive reappraisal strategies. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, *265*, 45-55.

[64] Pálfi, K., Major, J., Horváth-Sarródi, A., Deák, A., Fehér, G., & Gács, B. (2024). Adaptive emotion regulation might prevent burnout in emergency healthcare professionals: an exploratory study. *BMC Public Health*, *24*(1), 3136.

[65] Park, S. K., Rhee, M. K., & Lee, S. W. (2021). The effects of job demands and resources on turnover intention: The mediating roles of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization. *Work*, *70*(1), 301-309.

[66] Peterson, U., Bergström, G., Samuelsson, M., Åsberg, M., & Nygren, Å. (2008). Reflecting peer-support groups in the prevention of stress and burnout: Randomized controlled trial. *Journal of advanced nursing*, *63*(5), 506-516.

[67] Poulin, J. E., & Walter, C. A. (1993). Burnout in gerontological social work. *Social Work*, *38*(3), 305-310.

[68] Rad, D. (2019). Regulation difficulty in cyberbullying incidents. *Educația Plus*, *25*(2), 70-75.

[69] Rad, D. (2021). Schadenfreude as a mediator in the relationship between empathy and difficulties in following the rules. *Educația Plus*, *29*(2), 40-54.

[70] Rad, D., Dixon, D., & Rad, G. (2020). Digital outing confidence as a mediator in the digital behavior regulation and internet content awareness relationship. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*, *11*(1), 84-95.

[71] Rad, D., Marcu, R., Dicu, A., Cuc, L. D., Roman, D., Olteanu, L. L., ... & Gavrila-Ardelean, L. (2024). Network Analysis of Bulimia and Eating Behavior Regulation in Subclinical Population. *Psychiatry International*, *5*(3), 515-531.

[72] Ravalier, J. M. (2019). Psycho-social working conditions and stress in UK social workers. *The British Journal of Social Work*, *49*(2), 371-390.

[73] Riegel, B., Dunbar, S. B., Fitzsimons, D., Freedland, K. E., Lee, C. S., Middleton, S., ... & Jaarsma, T. (2021). Self-care research: where are we now? Where are we going?. *International journal of nursing studies*, *116*, 103402.

[74] Runcan, R., & Nadolu, B. (2020). Social conditioning for the self-harm behaviour in adolescence. *Agora Psycho-Pragmatica*, *14*(2), 14-35.

[75] Runcan, R., Hațegan, V., Toderici, O., Croitoru, G., Gavrila-Ardelean, M., Cuc, L. D., ... & Dughi, T. (2025). Ethical AI in Social Sciences Research: Are We Gatekeepers or Revolutionaries?. *Societies*, *15*(3), 62.

[76] Runcan, R., Nadolu, D., & David, G. (2023). Predictors of Anxiety in Romanian Generation Z Teenagers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(6), 4857.

[77] Segal, O., Sher, H., Aderka, I. M., & Weinbach, N. (2023). Does acceptance lead to change? Training in radical acceptance improves implementation of cognitive reappraisal. *Behaviour research and therapy*, *164*, 104303.

[78] Shih, S. P., Jiang, J. J., Klein, G., & Wang, E. (2013). Job burnout of the information technology worker: Work exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment. *Information & Management*, *50*(7), 582-589.

[79] Skovholt, T. M., & Trotter-Mathison, M. (2014). *The resilient practitioner: Burnout prevention and self-care strategies for counselors, therapists, teachers, and health professionals*. Routledge.

[80] Skovholt, T. M., & Trotter-Mathison, M. (2016). *The resilient practitioner: Burnout and compassion fatigue prevention and self-care strategies for the helping professions*. Routledge.

[81] Söderfeldt, M., Söderfeldt, B., & Warg, L. E. (1995). Burnout in social work. *Social work*, *40*(5), 638-646.

[82] Tony, T. S. K., & Lilian, M. (2002). Emotional Exhaustion, Depersonalisation, and Personal Accomplishment—the Case of Burnout Among School Social Workers in Hong Kong. *Asia Pacific Journal of Social Work and Development*, *12*(2), 76-94.

[83] Travis, D. J., Lizano, E. L., & Mor Barak, M. E. (2016). 'I'm so stressed!': A longitudinal model of stress, burnout and engagement among social workers in child welfare settings. *The British Journal of Social Work*, *46*(4), 1076-1095.

[84] Troy, A. S., Shallcross, A. J., Brunner, A., Friedman, R., & Jones, M. C. (2018). Cognitive reappraisal and acceptance: Effects on emotion, physiology, and perceived cognitive costs. *Emotion*, *18*(1), 58.

[85] Tull, M. T., & Aldao, A. (2015). Editorial overview: New directions in the science of emotion regulation. *Current opinion in psychology*, *3*, iv-x.

[86] Tulleners, T., Taylor, M., & Campbell, C. (2024). Contribution of peer group supervision to nursing practice: An interpretive phenomenological study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *75*, 103903.

[87] Turner, T., Lucas, M., & Whitaker, C. (2018). *Peer supervision in coaching and mentoring: A versatile guide for reflective practice*. Routledge.

[88] Vertsberger, D., Roskam, I., Talmon, A., Van Bakel, H., Hall, R., Mikolajczak, M., & Gross, J. J. (2022). Emotion regulation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Risk and resilience factors for parental burnout (IIPB). *Cognition and Emotion*, *36*(1), 100-105.

[89] Wei, H., Hardin, S. R., & Watson, J. (2021). A unitary caring science resilience-building model: Unifying the human caring theory and research-informed psychology and neuroscience evidence. *International journal of nursing sciences*, *8*(1), 130-135.

[90] Wilson, F. (2016). Identifying, preventing, and addressing job burnout and vicarious burnout for social work professionals. *Journal of evidence-informed social work*, *13*(5), 479-483.

[91] Wise, E. H., Hersh, M. A., & Gibson, C. M. (2012). Ethics, self-care and well-being for psychologists: Reenvisioning the stress-distress continuum. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *43*(5), 487.

[92] Wolgast, M., Lundh, L. G., & Viborg, G. (2011). Cognitive reappraisal and acceptance: An experimental comparison of two emotion regulation strategies. *Behaviour research and therapy*, *49*(12), 858-866.

[93] Wong, H. J., & White, K. M. (2021). A theory-based examination of self-care behaviours among psychologists. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, *28*(4), 950-968.

[94] Wooten, N. R., Kim, H., & Fakunmoju, S. B. (2011). Occupational stress in social work practice. *Handbook of Stress in the Occupations*, 71-90.

[95] Wyatt, J. P., & Ampadu, G. G. (2022). Reclaiming self-care: Self-care as a social justice tool for Black wellness. *Community mental health journal*, *58*(2), 213-221.